ERAMMP: Monitoring of small water features in Wales as part of an integration monitoring programme

Bridget Emmett **ERAMMP** lead & UKCEH Head of Soils and Land Use





Codi hyder yn sai vstiolaeth ni Building confidence in our

Llywodraeth Cymru Welsh Government

Funded by: Canolfan Ecoleo



a Hydroleg y DU UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology **Objectives:** To provide ongoing evidence and support for a wide range of evidence and modelling requirements

Who: 17 partners to ensure capability to cover agriculture, forestry, tourism, air, soil, water, climate, biodiversity, public health and well-being, economics and more....

What: A new 10 year programme building on the last 10 years of collaboration (2012 – 2022 GMEP & ERAMMP; 2023 – 2033 ERAMMP(cont)

Funding: Welsh Gov, with the programme led by UKCEH and past co-funding





Funded by:





ERAMMP activities

(1) Monitoring

Builds on the longest integrated national monitoring programme in the UK



(3) Modelling

..to help explore different policy options



(2) Integrated Assessment / Evidence Packs

What do we know; what do we not know; trade-offs and co-benefits







ERAMMP Monitoring : Sampling design

- Nationally representative sample for robust reporting
- 1km survey squares stratified according to ITE land classes
- 1% of Wales surveyed (2% of designated land)
- Builds on UKCEH's Countryside Survey (1978 – present day)
- Primary uses are: national scale trends, impacts of Glastir and provides a baseline for many future policy initiatives



Blue indicates - captured in field survey. Other outcomes through farmer surveys, modelling and economic analysis use different approaches







Stream and Pond Condition Assessment

- GMEP and the ERAMMP carry out water quality assessments as part of the integrated monitoring across Wales
- The focus is on these water features as an important habitat for a wide range of characteristic species and important stepping stones across the landscape
- Data includes invertebrates, diatoms, plants, habitats, chemistry in headwater streams and ponds
- Tools & models are used to compare observed/expected monitoring scores







Stream results: a) habitat condition assessed by macroinvertebrate data

There is between 9.5 to 16 thousand kilometres of headwater streams which are not assessed for WFD. They are a priority habitat for range of characteristic plants and animals.

- In 2014, macroinvertebrate data sampled in GMEP indicated nearly 83% of the headwater streams have good or high quality.
- Comparison with results from 2007 reported by Countryside Survey using the same methodology indicates an increase in biodiversity but a slight shift towards species more tolerant of degradation.



Counts of stream sites in each ecological quality







b) habitat condition of headwater streams assessed by degree of anthropogenic modification



Counts of stream sites in each habitat class







c) Headwater stream habitat condition assessed by degree of anthropogenic modification

Modification	Number of streams where observed	% of streams where observed	Notes
Poaching	91	55.5	Indicates free access to stream by livestock
Culverts	57	34.8	-
Bank modifications	54	32.9	Artificial materials, reinforcement, resectioning
Channel modifications	24	14.6	Artificial substrate, deepening, resectioning
Bridges	23	14	-
Fords	19	11.6	-
Weirs	15	9.1	-
Outfalls	9	5.5	Excludes field drains
Embankments	8	4.9	-

- Poaching, by which livestock are allowed to access streams, is the key cause of stream habitat modification and was observed in 55% of GMEP streams.
- This will increase the risk of potential transfer of pathogens to humans
- It also increases the risk of bank damage and associated sediment levels in streams
 (affects water quality and water flow)





Canolfan Ecoleg a Hydroleg y DU UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology

Funded by:

Pond highlights: Condition assessed by habitat quality, plant & invertebrate diversity

Estimated to be 57,800 ponds (2.8/km²). Important refugia and stepping stones for dispersal but can accumulate nutrients, sediments and contaminants.

 Only 13% of ponds sampled by GMEP were judged to be in good ecological condition, with 38% in poor or very poor condition



Counts of ponds in each condition class





Canolfan Ecoleg a Hydroleg y DU UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology

Funded by:

Have ponds and streams improved over the last 10 years (2014 – 2022)?

Streams 27% streams are now pristine / seminatural (+2% change) but 53% streams are modified (+3% change)

Ponds

16% ponds are now in Good condition (+4% change)but40% more ponds are Poor or Very Poor (+2% change)

Headwater change	%
Less modified	27
More modified	12
No Change	62

Pond change	%
Improving	27
Declining	24
No change	49





Funded by:

Summary

- Headwater streams are in overall high/good ecological condition but have low habitat quality due to modifications
- Ponds in general are not in good ecological condition
- First look at change data suggests the best are getting better but the degraded even worse. Most are stable.
- Many more metrics will be reported next year.
- What is our overall strategy?
 - to protect the best?
 - lift up the worst?
 - connect the best (30 by 30 Landscape Network)?







Future plans – July 2024

- ERAMMP report of national trends and impacts of Glastir will be published in July 2024 covering:
 - woodland, biodiversity (plants, birds and pollinators), small water features, soil, historic environment features and PROW in July 2024. Baseline data from 2014 can be found here: <u>https://gmep.wales/resources</u>
- We intend to include an integrated assessment identifying contextual dependencies which constrain both current state and potential for change of these small water features
- All date and analyses will be made available to NRW for SoNaRR 2025





Funded by:

JK Centre for coloav & Hvdroloa

Thank you! Diolch!

www.erammp.cymru www.erammp.wales



Funded by:



Canolfan Ecoleg a Hydroleg y DU UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology

Llywodraeth Cymru Welsh Government